

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

(By Consent)

Case No. 24-59-RD

Notice Issued: October 6, 2025

Amy Lillian Colston, P 64742, Kalamazoo, Michigan

Suspension - One Year, Effective October 25, 2025

The Grievance Administrator filed a notice of filing of reciprocal discipline pursuant to MCR 9.120(C) that attached certified copies of orders entered by the Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department on October 25, 2017, and February 2, 2018, that suspended respondent's license to practice law in New York on an interim basis as a result of her conviction, effective October 25, 2017, and then for one year, effective October 25, 2017, respectively, in matters titled *Matter of Amy Vichinsky, an Attorney* and *Matter of Amy Vichinsky, a Suspended Attorney, Respondent, Grievance Committee of the Seventh Judicial District, Petitioner*. The notice also attached a certified copy of an order entered by the Supreme Court of California on February 13, 2020, that disbarred respondent from practicing law in the State of California, effective March 15, 2019, in a matter titled *In re Amy Lillian Vichinsky*, SBC-19-N-30499; SBC-19-O-30501.¹

Respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which had been approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission. After reviewing the parties' stipulation, Kalamazoo County Hearing Panel #2 communicated in writing to the parties pursuant to MCR 9.115(F)(5)(c)(ii) that it had questions for the Grievance Administrator relative to the stipulation; counsel for the Grievance Administrator filed a response. The hearing panel considered the stipulation as well as the additional information provided, and concluded that the parties' agreement was reasonable and consistent with the goals of these discipline proceedings.

Based upon the certified copies of the order of one-year suspension issued by the Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division, the order of disbarment issued by the Supreme Court of the State of California, and respondent's acknowledgments as set forth in

¹ In the 2018 New York matter and the 2020 California matter, respondent's last name at the time was Vichinsky; however, her State Bar of Michigan record indicates her last name is now Colston.

the stipulation of the parties, the panel found that respondent committed misconduct, as set forth in MCR 9.120(C)(1), and ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for a period of one-year, effective October 25, 2025, as agreed to by the parties and accepted by the panel. Total costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,115.58.